

Strategy for implementation of Best Available Retrofit Technology provisions for Wisconsin

The purpose of this document is to provide information and encourage public comments.

General

The regional haze regulation requires all states, including Wisconsin, to revise their State Implementation Plans (SIPs) and include programs to assure reasonable progress toward meeting the national goal of preventing any future, and remedying any existing, impairment of visibility in Class I areas. States are required to complete long-range plans and develop rules for the reduction of air-pollutant emissions causing visibility impairment. To address these requirements, the Department (Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources) is working with neighboring states to conduct studies required to develop the long-range plans.

A main component of the regional haze program is the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain stationary sources. Since the BART requirements are independent from the ongoing studies for the regional haze plans, the department has started to develop a BART-rule.

Conceptual framework for BART- Rule

The regional haze regulations require that certain stationary sources that may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to visibility impairment in any Class I areas, to install BART. The BART provision applies to stationary sources from 26 identified source categories, which were not in operation prior to August 7, 1962, and were in existence on August 7, 1977, and have the potential to emit 250 tons per year or more of any visibility impairing air pollutant (SO₂, NO_x, Particulate Matter). These sources are “BART-eligible”. Among the BART-eligible sources, only sources are “subject to BART” that may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to any impairment of visibility in any Class I area. Only a source subject to BART needs to go through a BART determination process to determine the level of emission control and the control technology representing BART.

The Department has identified 26 facilities with BART eligible sources in Wisconsin; ten (10) of them are power plant with electric generating units (EGUs) and the remaining sixteen (16) facilities have BART-eligible sources which are industrial sources other than electric generating units (non-EGUs). Attached is a list of BART-eligible sources in Wisconsin.

The regional haze regulation gives states different options for application of the BART provision. Based on these options the following main approaches are available for the implementation of the BART provisions:

- 1- BART determination for all sources subject to BART
- 2- CAIR as BART substitute for EGUs
- 3- Alternative program

1- BART determination for all sources subject to BART

The State has the authority to exempt BART-eligible sources from BART determination if their impact on visibility impairment is below a defined threshold. The BART-eligible sources, which are not exempted, would be then subject to BART. The state has three options for identification of sources subject to BART:

a) *Consider all BART-eligible sources within the state as sources subject to BART*

The department does not intend to pursue this option because many sources with minor impact on visibility impairment would need to go through a BART determination process, which can be time consuming, expensive and not effective.

b) *Showing that none of the sources in the state contributes to the visibility impairment in any Class I areas and therefore none of the BART-eligible sources is subject to BART.*

This is not a realistic option, because the modeling results have already shown that the BART-eligible sources in Wisconsin do contribute to the visibility impairment in Class I areas.

c) *Consider the individualized contribution of BART-eligible sources to determine whether a specific source is subject to BART or can be exempted.*

The Department intends to pursue this option and has already conducted facility-by-facility modeling analysis to determine the facility specific impacts on Class I areas near Wisconsin. The preliminary modeling results show that 9 facilities with EGUs and 4 facilities with non-EGUs would be subject to BART. These analyses will be finalized before adaptation of the BART rule.

2- CAIR as BART substitute for EGUs

The EPA has determined that CAIR makes greater reasonable progress than BART. Based on this finding the regional haze regulation allows a State affected by CAIR to treat CAIR as a BART-substitute for EGUs if the State opts to participate in the CAIR cap-and-trade program. However, the IPM modeling results, which EPA used to predict future year emissions, projects only very modest emission reductions in Wisconsin. Our analyses indicate that the level of emission reductions achievable through the CAIR cap-and-trade program would not be sufficient to achieve visibility improvements in nearby Class I areas in an extent required to meet the reasonable progress goals.

3- Alternative programs

The State can use other programs, for example a trading program, as an alternative to BART, if it can be shown that the alternative program would achieve greater reasonable progress than BART. A possible approach would be a trading program that satisfies the requirements of CAIR, BART and RACT (reasonably available control technology) for

EGUs. The Department has conceptually developed such a program which integrates CAIR, RACT and BART and is described as “option two” in a separate document.

Current Rule Elements

The Department has begun to prepare a BART-rule based on the following concept:

- The Department would identify sources subject to BART based on individual impact of sources on visibility impairment in Class I areas.
- The BART rule would require the facilities to perform the BART engineering analyses for BART determination based on the EPA guidelines and the additional information provided by the Department. In determining a level of control as BART the following criteria need to be considered:
 - 1- Available technologies
 - 2- Costs of compliance
 - 3- Energy and non-air quality environmental impacts of compliance
 - 4- Existing pollution controls
 - 5- Remaining useful life of the source
 - 6- Degree of visibility improvement
- The rule would allow the averaging of SO₂ and PM emissions among the sources subject to BART within a facility. For NO_x emission, a broader averaging approach is in consideration. It would allow trading among all sources within a facility. The corresponding NO_x mass cap for each affected facility would be set at a level that it would result in a greater reasonable progress compared to the reduction achievable by applying BART on sources subject to BART.
- The BART rule would require the facilities to provide their analyses for BART determination with all supporting documents for the Department review and interim BART determinations.
- The interim BART determinations or the level of controls representing BART, and compliance schedules would become contractual in administrative orders.
- The department would inform the facilities of their final BART level of controls and compliance schedules after EPA has approved the State Implementation Plan.

For more information please contact Wisconsin DNR / Farrokh Ghoreishi
E-mail: farrokh.ghoreishi@dnr.state.wi.us
Phone: 608-264-8868

BART-ELIGIBLE SOURCES IN WISCOSIN

| FID | facility | BART Category | Potential to emit (preliminary) | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | NOx tpy | PM10 tpy | VOC tpy | SO2 tpy |
| 111003090 | Alliant Energy-Columbia Generating Station | 1 | 41951 | 12165 | 1261 | 113821 |
| 113004430 | Madison Gas & Electric Co Blount St | 1 | 580 | 35 | 11 | 6 |
| 113008390 | WIS DOA / UW Madison--Charter St | 22 | 1609 | 53 | 20 | 6506 |
| 122014530 | Alliant Energy, Nelson Dewey Gen Station | 1 | 9,327 | 186 | 135 | 13,569 |
| 154009130 | Goldschmidt Chemical Corporation / Degussa | 21 | 17 | 1 | 299 | 59 |
| 157003550 | Grede Foundries, Inc. | 20 | 181 | 192 | 188 | 293 |
| 241007690 | We Energies-Oak Creek Station | 1 | 36,796 | 281 | 209 | 72,760 |
| 241007800 | We Energies-Valley Station | 1 | 12,160 | 272 | 40 | 48,616 |
| 241014620 | PPG Industries | 21 | 128 | 16 | 875 | 43 |
| 252006370 | S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.(Waxdale Plant) | 21 | 175 | 29 | 270 | 622 |
| 405031990 | WI Public Service Corp - JP Pulliam Plant | 1 | 8,594 | 489 | 24 | 27,717 |
| 405032210 | Procter & Gamble Paper Production Company | 22 | 2577 | 542 | 18 | 8242 |
| 405032870 | Fort James Operating Company | 22 | 4043 | 362 | 14 | 37344 |
| 408021020 | Brillion Iron Works Inc | 20 | 37 | 339 | 379 | 4 |
| 445031180 | International Paper Kaukauna Facility | 3, 22 | 1827 | 620 | 77 | 11699 |
| 460033090 | WP & L Alliant Energy - Edgewater Gen Station | 1 | 29102 | 1241 | 378 | 50714 |
| 469033730 | Waupaca Foundry Inc. - Plant No 1 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 1226 | 0 |
| 606034110 | Dairyland Power Coop Alma Station | 1 | 5279 | 190 | 67 | 21502 |
| 663020930 | Dairyland Power Coop Genoa Station-EOP | 1 | 5545 | 3024 | 54 | 133898 |
| 735008010 | Packing Corporation of America-Tomahawk | 22 | 638 | 154 | 261 | 5882 |
| 737009020 | Wisconsin Public Service Corporation- Weston Plant | 1 | 11752 | 277 | 77 | 90069 |
| 737009570 | Mosinee Paper Corp | 3 | 1205 | 678 | 360 | 5912 |
| 772010140 | Stora Enso No. America-Wis. Rapids Pulp Mill | 3 | 3836 | 1702 | 1406 | 5386 |
| 772010690 | Domtar A. W. Corp-Nekoosa | 22 | 1226 | 797 | 180 | 4261 |
| 816009590 | Murphy Oil USA | 11 | 322 | 16 | 75 | 449 |
| 816036430 | C L M Corporation- Superior | 12 | 307 | 17 | 32 | 184 |

BART SOURCE CATEGORIES

| BART Category | Source Category Name | Principal SIC | Principal SCC(s) |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Fossil Fuel-fired Steam Electric Plants (250 MMBTU heat input per hour) | 4911, <u>4931</u> , <u>4939</u> | 101xxxxx |
| 2 | Coal Cleaning Plants (thermal dryers) | 2999 | 305010xx |
| 3 | Kraft Pulp Mills | 2611, 2621, 2631 | 307001xx |
| 4 | Portland Cement Plants | 3241 | 305006xx, 305007xx |
| 5 | Primary Zinc Smelters | 33xx | 303030xx |
| 6 | Iron and Steel Mill Plants | 3312 | 303015xx |
| 7 | Primary Aluminum Ore Reduction Plants | 3334 | 303001xx |
| 8 | Primary Copper Smelters | 3331 | 303005xx |
| 9 | Municipal Incinerators (> 250 tons refuse per day) | 4953 | 503005xx |
| 10 | Hydrofluoric, Sulfuric, and Nitric Acid Plants | 2819 | 3010700x |
| 11 | Petroleum Refineries | 2911 | 306xxxxx |
| 12 | Lime Plants | 3274 | 305016xx |
| 13 | Phosphate Rock Processing Plants | 1429 | 305019xx |
| 14 | Coke Oven Batteries | 3312 | 303003xx |
| 15 | Sulfur Recovery Plants | 2819 | 30603301, 31000208 |
| 16 | Carbon Black Plants (furnace process) | 2895 | 30100503, 30100509 |
| 17 | Primary Lead Smelters | 3339 | 303010xx |
| 18 | Fuel Conversion Plants | not applicable | not applicable |
| 19 | Sintering Plants | not applicable | not applicable |
| 20 | Secondary Metal Production Facilities | 3341, <u>3313</u> , <u>3321</u> | 304xxxxx |
| 21 | Chemical Process Plants | 28xx | 301xxxxx |
| 22 | Fossil Fuel-Fired Boilers (250 MMBTU heat input per hour) | not applicable | (102001xx-102008xx), (103001xx-103007xx) |
| 23 | Petroleum Storage and Transfer Facilities (capacity > 300,000 barrels) | 5171, 5172 | 306xxxxx |
| 24 | Taconite Ore Processing Plants | 3295 | 303023xx |
| 25 | Glass Fiber Processing Plants | 32xx | 305012xx |
| 26 | Charcoal Production Facilities | 2819 | 301006xx |